



# 2017 Canadian Animal Protection Laws Rankings

# PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND STEALS TOP SPOT AS CANADA'S WORST PROVINCE TO BE AN ANIMAL ABUSER

#### Animal Legal Defense Fund Annual Study Ranks Laws Across the Country

July 2017

A new in-depth study released by the Animal Legal Defense Fund (ALDF) confirms the wide range of disparity that currently exists across the country in terms of provincial and territorial animal protection legislation.

ALDF's tenth annual report, the only one of its kind in Canada, ranks each jurisdiction on the relative strength and comprehensiveness of its animal protection laws. The ranking is based on a detailed comparative analysis of the animal protection legislation in effect in each province and territory, focusing on sixty study questions spanning twelve categories.<sup>1</sup> Each jurisdiction is attributed a numerical ranking based upon its cumulative score and is grouped into a top, middle, or bottom tier.

This year, Prince Edward Island showed unprecedented improvement, moving all the way from the bottom tier to the very top spot. In addition to having caught up to other top tier provinces by requiring veterinarians to report cases of suspected abuse and including incarceration as a possible penalty for offenders, Prince Edward Island's new legislation also features some particularly noteworthy and progressive provisions. Indeed, the province now prohibits cosmetic surgery on animals, exotic animal circuses, and the permanent tethering of dogs, in addition to requiring those who use the animals in the context of agriculture or scientific research to comply with recognized codes of practice. Prince Edward Island also empowers courts to issue protection orders covering animals and to order psychiatric evaluations and mental health counselling for offenders.

New Brunswick also showed significant improvement this year, moving from seventh to fourth position, thus joining Prince Edward Island in the top tier. New Brunswick's improved ranking is attributable to its enactment of broader law enforcement powers for animal protection officers and mandatory reporting of suspected animal abuse by veterinarians. Additionally, New Brunswick's amended legislation now prohibits animal abandonment and protects all professionals involved in the administration or enforcement of the legislation from liability.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See page 20 of the report for a summary of the methodology used.

Along with newcomers Prince Edward Island and New Brunswick, Manitoba and Nova Scotia continue to occupy the top tier. At the other end of the spectrum, Alberta, Saskatchewan, the Northwest Territories, and Nunavut hold on to their positions as the jurisdictions with the weakest animal protection legislation.

Since ALDF began publishing these rankings in 2008, there has been a marked improvement in the laws of many provinces and territories, and more advances are on the way. However, there continues to be considerable differences across the country, with some jurisdictions making substantial steps forward, and others lagging behind. Irrespective of its current rank, every province and territory has ample room for improvement. It is ALDF's hope that these ongoing reviews continue to shed light on this important issue and garner support for both the strengthening and enforcement of animal protection laws throughout the country.

ALDF encourages those who care about the welfare and protection of animals to contact their elected officials about the importance of having strong, comprehensive laws in this field, and to alert law enforcement should they ever witness animal abuse or neglect.

For additional information, including the ANIMAL PROTECTION LAWS OF THE USA & CANADA compendium, ALDF'S MODEL ANIMAL PROTECTION LAWS collection, and more, visit aldf.org.

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

2017 Rankings	4
2008-2017 Improvement Highlights	5
Preliminary Remarks: Canadian Animal Protection Legislation	6
Overview: "Top Tier" Provinces & Territories for 2017	
Prince Edward Island	7
Manitoba	8
Nova Scotia	9
New Brunswick	10
Overview: "Middle Tier" Provinces & Territories for 2017	
British Columbia	11
Ontario	12
Newfoundland & Labrador	
Quebec	
Yukon	15
Overview: "Bottom Tier" Provinces & Territories for 2017	
Alberta	16
Saskatchewan	17
Northwest Territories	18
Nunavut	19
Methodology Summary	20



## CANADIAN ANIMAL PROTECTION LAWS RANKINGS™

Comparing Overall Strength & Comprehensiveness

	ı	,
	1	Prince Edward Island
	2	Manitoba
TOP TIER	3	Nova Scotia
	4	New Brunswick
	5	British Columbia
	6	Ontario
MIDDLE TIER	7	Newfoundland & Labrador
	8	Quebec
	9	Yukon
	10	Alberta
	11	Saskatchewan
BOTTOM TIER	12	Northwest Territories
	13	Nunavut

#### 2008-2017 Improvement Highlights

#### —Laws Enacted Since the First ALDF Rankings Report in 2008—

IMPROVEMENTS	JURISDICTIONS
Increased Maximum Penalties	BC, NB, NT, NS, ON, SK, YT, BC, NL, PEI, QC
Improved Standards of Care	BC, NB, NT, NS, ON, BC, QC, NL, PEI
Mandatory Veterinarian Reporting of Suspected Cruelty*	MB, NS, ON, BC, NL, QC, PEI
Broadened Range of Protections	NT, ON, SK, BC, NL, NS, QC, PEI
Mental Health Counseling*	ON, PEI
Stronger Inspection/Seizure/Oversight Authority	BC, NB, NT, ON, YT, BC, NL, QC, PEI
Increased Restrictions on Post-Conviction Ownership	MB, NT, ON, NL, PEI, QC
Improved Cost Mitigation & Recovery	ON
Fighting Prohibitions (Fights, Training, Possession of Equipment/Structures)	MB, ON, BC, NL, QC, PEI

<sup>\*</sup>First law in this category was enacted after 2008

#### **Preliminary Remarks: Canadian Animal Protection Legislation**

In Canada, the power to enact criminal law is within the exclusive jurisdiction of the federal government,<sup>2</sup> although the provinces and territories have the power to create quasi-criminal or regulatory offences in a variety of areas.<sup>3</sup> Most criminal laws have been codified in the *Criminal Code*, which applies uniformly throughout the entire country.<sup>4</sup> The provisions of the *Criminal Code* dealing with cruelty towards animals have changed very little since they were first enacted in 1892 and suffer from a number of limitations. Chief among these is that the two most commonly applicable provisions, willful infliction of unnecessary suffering (section 445.1(a)) and willful neglect (section 446(1)(b)), require a high level of *mens rea*, or criminal intent: the prosecution must prove, beyond a reasonable doubt, that the accused "willfully" committed the act in question. This makes it difficult to obtain a conviction, particularly in cases of neglect, where it is relatively easy for defendants to raise a reasonable doubt about whether they were aware of the consequences of their actions.<sup>5</sup>

In response to such difficulties, all provinces and territories have enacted their own animal protection laws, which are the object of the present study. Provincial and territorial legislation typically requires a much lesser degree of criminal intent on the part of the accused, and hence affords animals a higher degree of protection. However, it is important to keep in mind that the *Criminal Code* does provide a baseline of protection throughout Canada. Thus, even in jurisdictions where provincial or territorial animal protection legislation only covers dogs, for example, other species nevertheless benefit from a certain, albeit minimal, degree of protection in virtue of the *Criminal Code*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Constitution Act, 1867 (U.K.), 30 & 31 Vict., c. 3, s. 91(27) reprinted in R.S.C. 1985, App. II, No. 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Constitution Act, 1867 (U.K.), 30 & 31 Vict., c. 3, s. 92(15) reprinted in R.S.C. 1985, App. II, No. 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Supra note 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> For example, in *R. v. Heynan*, a horse owner was acquitted of letting his animals starve to death on the basis of his mistaken belief that horses were able to obtain their own food when left in a pasture for the winter ([1992] A.J. No. 1181, 136 A.R. 397 (Alta. Prov. Ct.)).

#### Overview: "Top Tier" Provinces & Territories for 2017

	Existing Strengths	Potential Improvements
1. Prince Edward Island <sup>6</sup>	Definitions/standards of basic care Range of protections Prohibitions related to animal fighting Principal prohibitions apply to owners and nonowners alike Recognition of psychological harm ("anxiety") for all animals covered No provincial breed specific legislation Only agricultural and research practices consistent with standards prescribed by regulation are exempted Penalties may include both fines and incarceration Increased penalties for repeat offenders Mandatory minimum fines Every day an offence is committed/continues can be counted as a separate offence Mental health evaluations/counseling Cost mitigation/recovery measures: owner liable for costs of care, humane society/SPCA may retain animal until costs are paid, disposition of animal if costs not paid within certain time Warrantless entry into non-dwellings under certain circumstances Animal Protection Officer may request person in dwelling to produce animal for inspection Possible seizure of mistreated animals Animal Protection Officers have broad inspection powers (can enter premises without "reasonable grounds") Officer may order owner to take action/provide care on-site Pre-judgment forfeiture of animal when in critical distress (for euthanasia purposes), abandoned, or if owner is unfit Court may order forfeiture of animals and restrictions on future ownership or possession of animals upon conviction Mandatory reporting of suspected animal cruelty by veterinarians	<ul> <li>Protections applicable to fish and marine mammals</li> <li>Mandatory terms of incarceration for certain offenders</li> <li>Mandatory seizure of mistreated animals</li> <li>Possible on-site detention of seized animal</li> <li>Mandatory forfeiture of animals and restrictions on future ownership or possession of animals upon conviction</li> <li>Mandatory reporting of suspected animal cruelty by select non-animal-related agencies</li> <li>Immunity for anyone who reports animal in distress/assists in the enforcement of animal protection legislation</li> <li>Duty of peace officers to assist in the enforcement of animal protection legislation</li> </ul>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Animal Welfare Act, R.S.P.E.I. 1988, c. A-11.2; Animal Welfare Regulations, P.E.I. Reg. EC194/17.

	Existing Strengths	Potential Improvements
2. Manitoba <sup>7</sup>	<ul> <li>Principal protections apply to most species</li> <li>Definitions/standards of basic care</li> <li>Broad range of protections</li> <li>Prohibition related to animal fighting</li> <li>Principal prohibitions apply to owners and nonowners alike</li> <li>Recognition of psychological harm ("anxiety") for all animals covered</li> <li>No provincial breed specific legislation</li> <li>Penalties may include both fines and incarceration</li> <li>Increased penalties for repeat offenders</li> <li>Cost mitigation/recovery measures: owner liable for costs of care, disposition of animal if costs not paid within certain time</li> <li>Warrantless entry into non-dwellings and dwellings under certain circumstances</li> <li>Possible seizure of mistreated animals</li> <li>Animal Protection Officers have broad inspection powers (do not need "reasonable grounds" to enter premises other than dwelling houses)</li> <li>Officer may order owner to take action/provide care on-site</li> <li>Pre-judgment forfeiture of animal when abandoned, in critical distress (for euthanasia purposes), if owner is unfit/animal may be harmed if returned</li> <li>Court may order forfeiture of animals and restrictions on future ownership or possession of animals upon conviction</li> <li>Mandatory reporting of suspected animal cruelty by veterinarians</li> <li>Immunity for anyone who reports animal in distress/assists in the enforcement of animal protection legislation</li> <li>Animal protection officers/inspectors have certain law enforcement authority</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Narrower activity/use-based exemptions</li> <li>Mandatory terms of incarceration for certain offenders</li> <li>Mandatory minimum fines</li> <li>Mental health evaluations/counseling</li> <li>Mandatory seizure of mistreated animals</li> <li>Possibility of on-site detention of seized animal</li> <li>Mandatory forfeiture of animals and restrictions on future ownership or possession of animals upon conviction</li> <li>Mandatory reporting of suspected animal cruelty by select non-animal-related agencies</li> <li>Duty of peace officers to assist in the enforcement of animal protection legislation</li> </ul>

 $<sup>^7</sup>$  The Animal Care Act, C.C.S.M. 1996, c. A84; Animal Care Regulation, Man. Reg. 126/98.

	<b>Existing Strengths</b>	Potential Improvements
3. Nova Scotia <sup>8</sup>	Range of protections Principal prohibitions apply to owners and nonowners alike Recognition of psychological harm No provincial breed specific legislation Penalties may include both fines and incarceration Large fines available Increased penalties for repeat offenders Cost mitigation/recovery measures: owner liable for costs of care, humane society/SPCA may retain animal until costs are paid, disposition of animal if costs not paid within certain time Warrantless entry into non-dwellings under certain circumstances Animal Protection Officer may request person in dwelling to produce animal for inspection Possible seizure of mistreated animals Animal Protection Officers have broad inspection powers (can enter most types of premises where animals are kept without "reasonable grounds") Officer may order owner to take action/provide care on-site Pre-judgment forfeiture of animal when abandoned, in critical distress (for euthanasia purposes), or if owner is unfit/animal may be harmed if returned Court may order forfeiture of animals and restrictions on future ownership or possession of animals upon conviction	<ul> <li>Positive duties of care of owner/guardian applicable to wider range of species</li> <li>Prohibitions related to animal fighting</li> <li>Narrower activity/use-based exemptions</li> <li>Mandatory terms of incarceration for certain offenders</li> <li>Mental health evaluations/counseling</li> <li>Warrantless entry into dwellings under certain circumstances</li> <li>Mandatory seizure of mistreated animals</li> <li>Possible on-site detention of seized animal</li> <li>Mandatory forfeiture of animals and restrictions on future ownership or possession of animals upon conviction</li> <li>Mandatory reporting of suspected animal cruelty by select non-animal-related agencies</li> <li>Duty of peace officers to assist in the enforcement of animal protection legislation</li> </ul>

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 8}$  Animal Protection Act, S.N.S. 2008, c. 33.

	Existing Strengths	Potential Improvements
4. New Brunswick <sup>9</sup>	<ul> <li>Principal protections apply to most species</li> <li>Definitions/standards of basic care</li> <li>Prohibitions related to animal fighting</li> <li>No provincial breed specific legislation</li> <li>Penalties may include both fines and incarceration</li> <li>Large fines available</li> <li>Increased penalties for repeat offenders previously sentenced to maximum penalty</li> <li>Mandatory minimum fines</li> <li>Cost mitigation/recovery measures: owner liable for costs of care, humane society/SPCA may retain animal until costs are paid, disposition of animal if costs not paid within certain time</li> <li>Warrantless entry into non-dwellings under certain circumstances</li> <li>Animal Protection Officer may request person in dwelling to produce animal for inspection</li> <li>Possible seizure of mistreated animals</li> <li>Animal Protection Officers have limited inspection powers (can only enter pet establishments without "reasonable grounds")</li> <li>Pre-judgment forfeiture of animal when abandoned or in critical distress (for euthanasia purposes)</li> <li>Court may order forfeiture of animals upon conviction (mandatory for repeat offenders)</li> <li>Court may order restrictions on future ownership or possession of animals upon conviction (mandatory for repeat offenders)</li> <li>Mandatory reporting of suspected animal cruelty by veterinarians</li> <li>Immunity for professionals involved in administering the law</li> <li>Animal protection officers/inspectors have broad law enforcement authority</li> <li>Duty of peace officers to assist in the enforcement of animal protection legislation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Principal prohibitions applicable to owners and non-owners alike</li> <li>Recognition of psychological harm</li> <li>Narrower activity/use-based exemptions</li> <li>Increased penalties for repeat offenders regardless of previous sentencing</li> <li>Mandatory terms of incarceration for certain offenders</li> <li>Mental health evaluations/counseling</li> <li>Mandatory seizure of mistreated animals</li> <li>Broader inspection powers for Animal Protection Officers</li> <li>Possible on-site detention of seized animal</li> <li>Officer may order owner to take action/provide care on-site</li> <li>Pre-judgment forfeiture of animal if owner is unfit/animal may be harmed if returned</li> <li>Mandatory forfeiture of animals upon conviction (even after first offence)</li> <li>Mandatory restrictions on future ownership or possession of animals upon conviction (even after first offence)</li> <li>Mandatory reporting of suspected animal cruelty by select non-animal-related agencies</li> <li>Immunity for anyone who reports animal in distress/assists in the enforcement of animal protection legislation</li> </ul>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act, S.N.B. 2014, c. 132; General Regulation, N.B. Reg. 2000-4; Provincial Offences Procedure Act, S.N.B. 1987, c. P-22.1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act, R.S.B.C. 1996, c. 372; Sled Dog Standards of Care Regulation, B.C. Reg. 21/2012.

	Existing Strengths	Potential Improvements
6. Ontario <sup>11</sup>	<ul> <li>Principal protections apply to most species</li> <li>Definitions/standards of basic care</li> <li>Range of protections</li> <li>Prohibitions related to animal fighting</li> <li>Principal prohibitions apply to owners and nonowners alike</li> <li>Psychological welfare provisions for captive wildlife</li> <li>Animals recognized as victims of an offence</li> <li>Penalties may include both fines and incarceration</li> <li>Large fines available</li> <li>Mental health evaluations/counseling</li> <li>Cost mitigation/recovery measures: owner liable for costs of care, disposition of animal if costs not paid within certain time</li> <li>Warrantless entry into non-dwellings under certain circumstances</li> <li>Possible seizure of mistreated animals</li> <li>Animal Protection Officers have limited inspection powers (can only enter certain types of premises without "reasonable grounds")</li> <li>Officer may order owner to take action/provide care on-site</li> <li>Pre-judgment forfeiture of animal when abandoned or in critical distress (for euthanasia purposes)</li> <li>Court may order forfeiture of animals and restrictions on future ownership or possession of animals upon conviction</li> <li>Mandatory reporting of suspected animal cruelty by veterinarians</li> <li>Immunity for professionals involved in administering the law who report animal in distress/assist in the enforcement of animal protection legislation</li> <li>Animal protection officers/inspectors have broad law enforcement authority</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Recognition of psychological welfare for all species</li> <li>No provincial breed specific legislation</li> <li>Narrower activity/use-based exemptions</li> <li>Increased penalties for repeat offenders</li> <li>Mandatory terms of incarceration for certain offenders</li> <li>Warrantless entry into dwellings under certain circumstances/Animal Protection Officer may request person in dwelling to produce animal for inspection</li> <li>Mandatory seizure of mistreated animals</li> <li>Broader inspection powers for Animal Protection Officers</li> <li>Possible on-site detention of seized animal</li> <li>Pre-judgment forfeiture of animal if owner is unfit/animal may be harmed if returned</li> <li>Mandatory forfeiture of animals and restrictions on future ownership or possession of animals upon conviction</li> <li>Mandatory reporting of suspected animal cruelty by select non-animal-related agencies</li> <li>Immunity for anyone who reports animal in distress/assists in the enforcement of animal protection legislation</li> <li>Duty of peace officers to assist in the enforcement of animal protection legislation</li> </ul>

<sup>11</sup> Ontario Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. O.36; Standards of Care, O. Reg. 60/09; Dog Owners' Liability Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. D.16.

	Existing Strengths	Potential Improvements
7. Newfoundland & Labrador <sup>12</sup>	<ul> <li>Principal protections</li> <li>Definitions/standards of basic care</li> <li>Prohibitions related to animal fighting</li> <li>Principal prohibitions apply to owners and nonowners alike</li> <li>Psychological welfare provisions for dogs</li> <li>No provincial breed specific legislation</li> <li>Only activities/uses consistent with standards prescribed by regulation are exempted</li> <li>Penalties may include both fines and incarceration</li> <li>Large fines available</li> <li>Cost mitigation/recovery measures: owner liable for costs of care, humane society/SPCA may retain animal until costs are paid</li> <li>Warrantless entry into non-dwellings under certain circumstances</li> <li>Animal Protection Officer may request person in dwelling to produce animal for inspection</li> <li>Possible seizure of mistreated animals</li> <li>Animal Protection Officers have limited inspection powers (can only enter certain types of premises without "reasonable grounds")</li> <li>Possible on-site detention of seized animal</li> <li>Pre-judgment forfeiture of animal when owner not located, in critical distress (for euthanasia purposes), or if owner is unfit/animal may be harmed if returned</li> <li>Court may order forfeiture of animals and restrictions on future ownership or possession of animals upon conviction</li> <li>Mandatory reporting of suspected animal cruelty by veterinarians</li> <li>Immunity for veterinarians and other professionals involved in administering the law who report animal in distress/assist in the enforcement of animal protection legislation</li> <li>Animal protection officers/inspectors have certain law enforcement authority</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Recognition of psychological welfare for all species</li> <li>Increased penalties for repeat offenders</li> <li>Mandatory terms of incarceration for certain offenders</li> <li>Mental health evaluations/counseling</li> <li>Cost mitigation/recovery measures: disposition of animal if costs not paid within certain time</li> <li>Warrantless entry into dwellings under certain circumstances</li> <li>Mandatory seizure of mistreated animals</li> <li>Broader inspection powers for Animal Protection Officers</li> <li>Officer may order owner to take action/provide care on-site</li> <li>Mandatory forfeiture of animals and restrictions on future ownership or possession of animals upon conviction</li> <li>Mandatory reporting of suspected animal cruelty by select non-animal-related agencies</li> <li>Immunity for anyone who reports animal in distress/assists in the enforcement of animal protection legislation</li> <li>Duty of peace officers to assist in the enforcement of the animal protection legislation</li> </ul>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Animal Health and Protection Act, S.N.L. 2010, c. A-9.1; Animal Protection Regulations, N.L.R. 35/12; Animal Protection Standards Regulations, N.L.R. 36/12.

	Existing Strengths	Potential Improvements
8. Quebec <sup>13</sup>	<ul> <li>Definitions/standards of basic care for dogs and cats</li> <li>Recognition of psychological harm for all species covered, including substantive requirements related to stimulation, socialization and enrichment for certain species</li> <li>Prohibitions related to animal fighting</li> <li>Principal prohibitions applicable to owners and non-owners alike</li> <li>No provincial breed specific legislation</li> <li>Penalties may include both fines and incarceration</li> <li>Large fines available</li> <li>Increased penalties for repeat offenders</li> <li>Mandatory minimum fines</li> <li>Cost mitigation/recovery measures: owner liable for costs of care when proceedings are instituted</li> <li>Animal Protection Officers have broad inspection powers</li> <li>Warrantless entry into non-dwellings under certain circumstances</li> <li>Animal Protection Officer may request person in dwelling to produce animal for inspection</li> <li>Possible seizure of mistreated animals</li> <li>Possible on-site detention of seized animal</li> <li>Pre-judgment forfeiture of animal when owner not found or in critical distress (for euthanasia purposes)</li> <li>Court may order forfeiture of animals and restrictions on future ownership or possession of animals upon conviction</li> <li>Mandatory reporting of suspected animal cruelty by veterinarians/select non-animal-related agencies</li> <li>Immunity for anyone who reports animal in distress/assists in the enforcement of animal protection legislation</li> <li>Animal protection officers/inspectors have certain law enforcement authority</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Principal protections applicable to wider range of species</li> <li>Definitions/standards of basic care for wider range of species, not just dogs and cats</li> <li>Narrower activity/use-based exemptions</li> <li>Mandatory terms of incarceration for certain offenders</li> <li>Mental health evaluations/counseling</li> <li>Broader cost mitigation/recovery measures: owner liable for costs of care regardless of whether proceedings are instituted</li> <li>Warrantless entry into dwellings under certain circumstances</li> <li>Mandatory seizure of mistreated animals</li> <li>Pre-judgment forfeiture of animal if owner is unfit/animal may be harmed if returned</li> <li>Mandatory forfeiture of animals and restrictions on future ownership or possession of animals upon conviction</li> <li>Mandatory reporting of suspected animal cruelt by select non-animal-related agencies</li> <li>Duty of peace officers to assist in the enforcement of animal protection legislation</li> </ul>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Animal Welfare and Safety Act, C.Q.L.R., c. B-3.1; Regulation respecting the safety and welfare of cats and dogs, C.Q.L.R., c. P-42, r 10.1.

	Existing Strengths	Potential Improvements
9. Yukon <sup>14</sup>	<ul> <li>Principal protections apply to most species</li> <li>Principal prohibitions apply to owners and nonowners alike</li> <li>No provincial breed specific legislation</li> <li>Exempted activities/uses must be consistent with reasonable practices and carried out in a humane manner</li> <li>Penalties may include both fines and incarceration</li> <li>Every day an offence is committed/continues can be counted as a separate offence</li> <li>Cost mitigation/recovery measures: owner liable for costs of care, humane society/SPCA may retain animal until costs are paid</li> <li>Warrantless entry into non-dwellings under certain circumstances</li> <li>Warrantless entry into dwellings by RCMP under certain circumstances</li> <li>Possible seizure of mistreated animals</li> <li>Animal Protection Officers have limited inspection powers (can only enter certain types of premises without "reasonable grounds")</li> <li>Officer may order owner to take action/provide care on-site</li> <li>Pre-judgment forfeiture of animal when abandoned, in critical distress (for euthanasia purposes), or if not claimed by owner within certain time</li> <li>Court may order forfeiture of animals and restrictions on future ownership or possession of animals upon conviction</li> <li>Immunity for anyone who reports animal in distress/assists in the enforcement of animal protection legislation</li> <li>Animal protection officers/inspectors have certain law enforcement authority</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Better definitions/standards of basic care</li> <li>Broader range of protections</li> <li>Prohibitions related to animal fighting</li> <li>Recognition of psychological harm</li> <li>Increased penalties for repeat offenders</li> <li>Mandatory terms of incarceration for certain offenders</li> <li>Mental health evaluations/counseling</li> <li>Cost mitigation/recovery measures: disposition of animal if costs not paid within certain time</li> <li>Warrantless entry into dwellings by Animal Protection Officer under certain circumstances/Animal Protection Officer may request person in dwelling to produce animal foinspection</li> <li>Mandatory seizure of mistreated animals</li> <li>Broader inspection powers for Animal Protection Officers</li> <li>Possible on-site detention of seized animal</li> <li>Pre-judgment forfeiture of animal if owner is unfit/animal may be harmed if returned</li> <li>Mandatory forfeiture of animals and restrictions on future ownership or possession of animals upon conviction</li> <li>Mandatory reporting of suspected animal cruelt by veterinarians/select non-animal-related agencies</li> <li>Duty of peace officers to assist in the enforcement of animal protection legislation</li> </ul>

 $<sup>^{14}</sup>$  Animal Protection Act, R.S.Y. 2002, c. 6; Animal Protection Regulations, Y.C.O. 1978/162.

\_

#### Overview: "Bottom Tier" Provinces & Territories for 2017

	Existing Strengths	Potential Improvements
10. Alberta <sup>15</sup>	<ul> <li>Principal protections</li> <li>Principal prohibitions apply to owners and nonowners allike</li> <li>No provincial breed specific legislation</li> <li>Cost mitigation/recovery measures: owner liable for costs of care, humane society/SPCA may retain animal until costs are paid, disposition of animal if costs not paid within certain time</li> <li>Warrantless entry into non-dwellings under certain circumstances</li> <li>Possible seizure of mistreated animals</li> <li>Animal Protection Officers have limited inspection powers (can only enter certain types of premises without "reasonable grounds")</li> <li>Pre-judgment forfeiture of animal when abandoned or in critical distress (for euthanasia purposes)</li> <li>Court may order forfeiture of animals and restrictions on future ownership or possession of animals upon conviction</li> <li>Immunity for anyone who reports animal in distress</li> <li>Animal protection officers/inspectors have certain law enforcement authority</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Better definitions/standards of basic care</li> <li>Prohibitions related to animal fighting</li> <li>Recognition of psychological harm</li> <li>Narrower activity/use-based exemptions</li> <li>Penalties may include both fines and incarceration</li> <li>Increased penalties for repeat offenders</li> <li>Mandatory terms of incarceration for certain offenders</li> <li>Mandatory minimum fines</li> <li>Mental health evaluations/counseling</li> <li>Warrantless entry dwellings under certain circumstances/Animal Protection Officer may require person in dwelling to produce animal for inspection</li> <li>Mandatory seizure of mistreated animals</li> <li>Broader inspection powers for Animal Protection Officers</li> <li>Possible on-site detention of seized animal</li> <li>Officer may order owner to take action/provide care on-site</li> <li>Pre-judgment forfeiture of animal if owner is unfit/animal may be harmed if returned</li> <li>Mandatory forfeiture of animals and restrictions on future ownership or possession of animals upon conviction</li> <li>Mandatory reporting of suspected animal cruelty by veterinarians and select non-animal-related agencies</li> <li>Duty of peace officers to assist in the enforcement of animal protection legislation</li> </ul>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Animal Protection Act, R.S.A. 2000, c. A-41; Animal Protection Regulation, Alta. Reg. 203/2005.

#### **Overview: "Bottom Tier" Provinces & Territories** cont'd.

	Existing Strengths	Potential Improvements
11. Saskatchewan <sup>16</sup>	<ul> <li>Principal protections apply to most species</li> <li>Principal prohibitions apply to owners and nonowners alike</li> <li>No provincial breed specific legislation</li> <li>Penalties may include both fines and incarceration</li> <li>Large fines available</li> <li>Cost mitigation/recovery measures: owner liable for costs of care, humane society/SPCA may retain animal until costs are paid, disposition of animal if costs not paid within certain time</li> <li>Warrantless entry into non-dwellings under certain circumstances</li> <li>Possible seizure of mistreated animals</li> <li>Animal Protection Officers have limited inspection powers (can only enter certain types of premises without "reasonable grounds")</li> <li>Pre-judgment forfeiture of animal when owner not located or in critical distress (for euthanasia purposes)</li> <li>Court may order forfeiture of animals and restrictions on future ownership or possession of animals upon conviction</li> <li>Immunity for professionals involved in administering the law who report animal in distress/assist in the enforcement of animal protection legislation</li> <li>Animal protection officers/inspectors have certain law enforcement authority</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Better definitions/standards of basic care</li> <li>Broader range of protections</li> <li>Prohibitions related to animal fighting</li> <li>Recognition of psychological harm</li> <li>Narrower activity/use-based exemptions</li> <li>Increased penalties for repeat offenders</li> <li>Mandatory terms of incarceration for certain offenders</li> <li>Mental health evaluations/counseling</li> <li>Warrantless entry into dwellings under certain circumstances/Animal Protection Officer may request person in dwelling to produce animal for inspection</li> <li>Mandatory seizure of mistreated animals</li> <li>Broader inspection powers for Animal Protection Officers</li> <li>Possible on-site detention of seized animal</li> <li>Officer may order owner to take action/provide care on-site</li> <li>Pre-judgment forfeiture of animal if owner is unfit/animal may be harmed if returned</li> <li>Mandatory forfeiture of animals and restrictions on future ownership or possession of animals upon conviction</li> <li>Mandatory reporting of suspected animal cruelty by veterinarians/select non-animal-related agencies</li> <li>Immunity for anyone who reports animal in distress/assists in the enforcement of animal protection legislation</li> <li>Duty of peace officers to assist in the enforcement of animal protection legislation</li> </ul>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Animal Protection Act, 1999, S.S. 1999, c. A-21.1.

#### **Overview: "Bottom Tier" Provinces & Territories** cont'd.

	Existing Strengths	Potential Improvements
12. Northwest Territories <sup>17</sup>	<ul> <li>Definitions/standards of basic care</li> <li>Range of protections</li> <li>Principal prohibitions apply to owners and nonowners alike</li> <li>No provincial breed specific legislation</li> <li>Penalties may include both fines and incarceration</li> <li>Increased penalties for repeat offenders</li> <li>Every day an offence is committed/continues can be counted as a separate offence</li> <li>Cost mitigation/recovery measures: owner liable for costs of care, humane society/SPCA may retain dog until costs are paid, disposition of dog if costs not paid within certain time</li> <li>Warrantless entry into non-dwellings under certain circumstances</li> <li>Possible seizure of mistreated dogs</li> <li>Animal Protection Officers have limited inspection powers (can only enter certain types of premises without "reasonable grounds")</li> <li>Pre-judgment forfeiture of dog when abandoned or in critical distress (for euthanasia purposes)</li> <li>Court may order forfeiture of dogs and restrictions on future ownership or possession of dogs upon conviction</li> <li>Immunity anyone who reports dog in distress/assists in the enforcement of animal protection legislation</li> <li>Animal protection officers/inspectors have certain law enforcement authority</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Principal protections applicable to wider range of species, not just dogs</li> <li>Prohibitions related to animal fighting</li> <li>Recognition of psychological harm</li> <li>Narrower activity/use-based exemptions</li> <li>Mandatory terms of incarceration for certain offenders</li> <li>Mental health evaluations/counseling</li> <li>Warrantless entry into dwellings under certain circumstances/Animal Protection Officer may request person in dwelling to produce animal for inspection</li> <li>Mandatory seizure of mistreated animals</li> <li>Broader inspection powers for Animal Protection Officers</li> <li>Possible on-site detention of seized animal</li> <li>Officer may order owner to take action/provide care on-site</li> <li>Pre-judgment forfeiture of animal if owner is unfit/animal may be harmed if returned</li> <li>Mandatory forfeiture of animals and restrictions on future ownership or possession of animals upon conviction</li> <li>Mandatory reporting of suspected animal cruelty by veterinarians/select non-animal-related agencies</li> <li>Duty of peace officers to assist in the enforcement of animal protection legislation</li> </ul>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> *Dog Act*, R.S.N.W.T. 1988, c. D-7.

#### **Overview: "Bottom Tier" Provinces & Territories** cont'd.

	Existing Strengths	Potential Improvements
13. Nunavut <sup>18</sup>	<ul> <li>Some prohibitions apply to owners and nonowners alike</li> <li>No provincial breed specific legislation</li> <li>Penalties may include incarceration</li> <li>Cost mitigation/recovery measures: officer may retain dog until costs are paid, disposition of dog (sale at public auction) if costs not paid within certain time</li> <li>Possible seizure of mistreated animals</li> <li>Pre- and post-judgment forfeiture of dog when in critical distress (for euthanasia purposes)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Principal protections applicable to wider range of species, not just dogs</li> <li>Definitions/standards of basic care</li> <li>Broader range of protections</li> <li>Prohibitions related to animal fighting</li> <li>Recognition of psychological harm</li> <li>Penalties may include both fines and incarceration (currently one or the other)</li> <li>Larger fines available</li> <li>Increased penalties for repeat offenders</li> <li>Mandatory terms of incarceration for certain offenders</li> <li>Mandatory minimum fines</li> <li>Mental health evaluations/counseling</li> <li>Broader cost mitigation/recovery measures: owner liable for costs of care</li> <li>Mandatory seizure of mistreated animals</li> <li>Inspection powers for Animal Protection Officers (do not need "reasonable grounds" to enter premises)</li> <li>Possible on-site detention of seized animal</li> <li>Officer may order owner to take action/provide care on-site</li> <li>Pre-judgment forfeiture of animal when abandoned or if owner is unfit/animal may be harmed if returned</li> <li>Mandatory forfeiture of animals and restrictions on future ownership or possession of animals upon conviction</li> <li>Mandatory reporting of suspected animal cruelty by veterinarians/select non-animal-related agencies</li> <li>Immunity for anyone who reports animal in distress/assists in the enforcement of animal protection legislation</li> <li>Duty of peace officers to assist in the enforcement of animal protection legislation</li> </ul>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> *Dog Act*, R.S.N.W.T. (Nu) 1988, c. D-7.

#### **Methodology summary**

All provinces and territories in the 2017 CANADIAN ANIMAL PROTECTION LAWS RANKINGS REPORT were numerically ranked based on their cumulative scores on sixty study questions spanning twelve categories. The analysis was limited to proclaimed legislation and did not review the separate issue of the enforcement of such laws. Only legislation enacted for the purpose of animal protection was considered. Laws governing specific activities, such as transport and slaughter of farm animals, scientific research, hunting and trapping of wildlife, horse racing, etc., were excluded. Study questions were close-ended and the choices exhaustive and mutually exclusive. The questions were limited to the following categories:

- 1. Substantive protections
- 2. Species covered
- 3. Exemptions
- 4. Animal fighting
- 5. Breed specific legislation
- 6. Penalties
- 7. Mental health evaluations and counseling
- 8. Restitution and reimbursement of costs
- 9. Inspection, entry, and seizure powers
- 10. Forfeiture and post-conviction possession
- 11. Reporting of suspected animal cruelty and immunity
- 12. Law enforcement policies